NOTICE OF MEETING

Inhabitants of the Town of Amherst:
You are hereby notified that there will be a meeting of:

Public Body: The Jones Library, Inc. Buildings and Facilities Committee
Date: Tuesday, February 15, 2022
Time: 9-10am
Location: Zoom Webinar

ADVISORY TO THE PUBLIC: This special Jones Library Buildings and Facilities Committee meeting will occur virtually via ZOOM and will be streamed live here:

You are invited to a Zoom webinar.
When: Feb 15, 2022 09:00 AM Eastern Time (US and Canada)
Topic: The Jones Library, Inc. Buildings and Facilities Committee
Please click the link below to join the webinar:
https://amherstma.zoom.us/j/89014595821
Or One tap mobile :
US: +16468769923,,89014595821# or +13017158592,,89014595821#
Or Telephone:
Dial(for higher quality, dial a number based on your current location):
US: +1 646 876 9923 or +1 301 715 8592 or +1 312 626 6799 or +1 669 900 6833 or +1 253 215 8782 or +1 346 248 7799 or +1 408 638 0968
Webinar ID: 890 1459 5821
International numbers available: https://amherstma.zoom.us/u/kcHbbUjyck

Meeting Agenda

I. Call to Order (Welcome, Alex, Farah, George, and Sharon!)
II. Election of Chair
III. Minutes (11-29-21) *
IV. Public Comment
V. Kestral Land Trust Proposal *
VI. North Amherst Library Building Project Update
VII. Facilities Report/FY23 JCPC *
   A. HVAC Repairs/Budget
   B. Roof Repairs/Budget
   C. Van Repairs/Budget
VIII. Historic Structure Report *
IX. Strong House Bus Stop
X. Adjourn

** Please note that the list of topics in this notice was comprehensive at the time of posting, however the public body may consider and take action on unforeseen matters not reasonably anticipated by the Chair 48 hours in advance of the meeting.
* Denotes handout(s) will be made available.
Red indicates vote required.
Jones Library Buildings and Facilities Committee  
Monday, November 29, 2021; 10-11:30am; Meeting via Zoom

Present:    Tamson Ely, Alex Lefebvre, Sharon Sharry, George Hicks

I. Meeting called to order at 10 a.m.
II. Minutes from October 15, 2021 approved as amended
III. No public comment
IV. Facilities Report
   A. George reported that a patron tripped on a sidewalk crack near the handicapped entrance. He put up a cone and repaired the crack. The Library is responsible for sidewalks to the front door and the side door handicapped entrance.
   B. HVAC status
      i. Another leak developed in a different section than the previous one. The rubber parts are old; this leak was not related to the heating system.
      ii. Metal housing broke inside the duct work of an air handler and hit the circulation fan which blows air. George heard the noise and shut it down right away to avoid damage to the fan. The metal is wearing out. The work was done within four days and required getting inside the duct which is covered with insulation. The heat was off in the 90s addition, but not the original building during the repair.
      iii. The Library has an annual contract with the vendor and they examine parts when they change filters, etc. but cannot look inside ducts. George does a walk through daily, watching out for problems or noises in the HVAC system.
      iv. Most repairs still coming from repair budget; will provide update at next meeting on status of the account.
   C. George is seeking a roofer for a repair where the metal roof of the 1990s addition meets the original roof. There are leaks when it rains heavily, especially in Special Collections.
   D. Van needs more work; when it is being serviced Branch deliveries are delayed or done by personal cars.
V. Historic Structures Report
   A. Sharon reported that the town commissioned three people to undertake a report of the Jones Library building. Ben Breger in the Planning Department is overseeing the process and the report is being completed by three people: Ann Marshall, from UMass, Eric Gradoia from Historic Deerfield, and Carly Regalado, a student. They met with Sharon, George, and Cyndi and will issue a report by January 31, 2022.
   B. This report will inform historic restoration efforts by the architects and will help with our Mass Historic Tax Credits applications.
VI. North Amherst Library Building Project Update - The town is apparently still planning to go out to bid in December and begin construction in March.
VII. Jones Building Project Update
   A. The Building Committee will hopefully begin meeting soon. Still need 2-3 resident members to be appointed. Originally it was 2 members, but Paul is thinking of adding a third.
   B. The Building Committee will meet with the OPM and will address immediate concerns like Special Collections Department which has sustained damage from leaks.
   C. Estimate is that construction could begin in April, 2023, so we will have a year plus in the current building, including another winter after this one.

Meeting adjourned at 11:10 a.m.
Tamson Ely
TO: Board of Trustees, Jones Library  
FROM: Monica Green, Director of Operations and Development, Kestrel Land Trust  
RE: Proposal to move the Kinsey Garden  
DATE: January 17, 2022

Kestrel Land Trust proposes that selected plants from the Kinsey Garden, located at the back of the Jones Library, be moved to the new home of the land trust at 37 Bay Road in Amherst. We understand that the garden must be moved due to the coming library expansion, which will extend into the garden space.

Moving selected plants from the garden to Kestrel’s headquarters will maintain the loving spirit that was initiated with the installation of this garden by Carol Pope in memory of her husband David Kinsey. We also understand that the library may want to keep some of the plants for the new library site. Carol Pope supports this proposal; please see her letter included with this proposal.

We understand that the library must approve the relocation of the garden before we start on any fundraising efforts for the project. We hope that the library will endorse fundraising efforts (including online efforts such as Go Fund Me) to make possible what we expect will be expensive moving of trees, shrubs and perennials.

Our intention would be to move the selected plants we can afford to move with new dedicated funds raised to support the relocation. As part of this process, we will identify areas at the Kestrel headquarters that are appropriate for the plantings. We can also imagine that several of the stone benches and Goshen stones would find an appropriate new home at Kestrel.

We understand that the Jones Library Buildings and Facilities Committee must approve this proposal. We gather that the renovation of the library will not begin until December 2022, so we propose starting to prepare the plants to be moved by root pruning in March 2022, then moving them in April or in the fall of 2022.

Many thanks for your attention to this heartfelt proposal. We believe that it will honor the spirit of the town through the library and the Kestrel Land Trust.
January 17, 2022

Dear Jones Library Trustees,
I am glad there are plans afoot to offer the Kinsey Garden plants to Kestrel Land Trust, the perfect place to replant those trees, shrubs and perennials. I appreciate the gesture and approve your decision. I am pleased the plants will be saved and contribute beauty to their new site.

I believe our community will be grateful for your generous decision.

Carol Kinsey Pope
Building and Vehicle Maintenance Expenses  
*FY21 and FY22 as of 2-9-22*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY21</th>
<th>FY22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BUILDING SYSTEMS</td>
<td>$13,462.97</td>
<td>$12,643.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLEANING SUPPLIES</td>
<td>$4,406.43</td>
<td>$1,066.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$88.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GENERAL BUILDING MAINTENANCE</td>
<td>$7,031.27</td>
<td>$11,372.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HVAC MAINTENANCE</td>
<td>$57,987.69</td>
<td>$18,474.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEHICLE MAINTENANCE AND FUEL</td>
<td>$254.38</td>
<td>$2,741.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$83,142.74</strong></td>
<td><strong>$46,387.23</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dept. Priority</td>
<td>Project Name</td>
<td>Category (Equipment, Building, Facility)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Special Collections Shelving</td>
<td>B R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Delivery Van</td>
<td>E N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Jones Repairs</td>
<td>B R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jones Renovation/Expansion Project</td>
<td>B R/N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL LIBRARY JCPC:** $21,408,386 $56,200 $0 $0 $0 $0 $56,200

**Community Preservation Act**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>$1,000,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Special Collections</td>
<td>F R</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL LIBRARY CPAC:** $1,000,000 $0 $0 $0 $0 $0 $0 $0 $0 $0 $0 $0

**IT JCPC Requests on Library’s Behalf**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>$111,412</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Replacement: Computers (IT)</td>
<td>E R</td>
<td>$29,700</td>
<td>$17,000</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>$4,400</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>$111,412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wireless (IT)</td>
<td>E N</td>
<td>$1,800</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,800</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$10,800</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>$10,800</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>$10,800</td>
<td>18 $233,412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printers (IT)</td>
<td>E R/N</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$10,412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security Cameras (IT)</td>
<td>E R/N</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$10,412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AV &amp; Peripherals (IT)</td>
<td>E R/N</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$10,500</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$10,500</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$22,012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photocopiers (IT)</td>
<td>E R/N</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$10,012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software (IT)</td>
<td>E R/N</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$13,012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Website (IT)</td>
<td>E R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil War Tablets Interactive Display (IT)</td>
<td>E N</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$20,012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL IT JCPC:** $31,900 $0 $42,200 $18,400 $80,300 $80,300 $80,300 $221,308

**Town Facilities JCPC Requests on Library’s Behalf**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>$0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North Amherst Interior</td>
<td>B N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Munson Memorial Interior</td>
<td>B R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL TOWN FACILITIES JCPC:** $0 $0 $0 $0 $0 $0 $0 $0 $0 $0 $0 $0

**Town Facilities CPAC Requests on Library’s Behalf**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>$0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North Amherst Interior</td>
<td>B R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Munson Memorial Interior</td>
<td>B R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL TOWN FACILITIES CPAC:** $0 $0 $0 $0 $0 $0 $0 $0 $0 $0 $0 $0

<p>| | | | | | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Project</td>
<td>$36,279,700</td>
<td>$13,871,314</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>$5,656,576</td>
<td>$15,751,810</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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“-May it be that in 2027 our children’s children shall look back and say that they of 1927 loved truth and beauty, that they loved and chose the best, and that they built well-”

Reverend John A. Hanley, Jones Library groundbreaking, July 25, 1927

South elevation of the Jones Library shortly after completion, circa 1929 -
Introduction

This historic structure report (HSR) was made possible by funding provided by the Community Preservation Act Fund for the Town of Amherst, Massachusetts. Funding was allocated to the Amherst Historical Commission which partnered with the University of Massachusetts (UMASS) School of Architecture to develop this report.

The purpose of this document is to help advise the trustees and staff of the Jones Library in stewardship of the library and guide decision-making through an informed understanding of the library’s original design, construction, evolution, and current condition. Though exposed to decades of constant use and occasional renovations, the building has fared well and remains largely intact, save for some alterations. Now nearing its centennial, the Jones Library is at a crossroads, as plans to expand the library may significantly impact the original construction depending on the ultimate approach taken.

This HSR provides a comprehensive assessment of the 1928 library building, studying its design and construction and changes that have been made, and examines its current state in relation to its original construction. Work consisted of both archival research and a study of the building’s existing fabric. Archival research focused on examining correspondence, photographs, and construction documents (drawings, specifications, field reports, etc.) related to the building of the 1928 library and the 1968 and 1993 campaigns of improvements that followed. A general survey and condition assessment of the 1928 building was performed to gain an understanding of the current state of the library, identify what character-defining features remain, and assess the overall integrity of the original building.

This project was executed in two phases. The first phase was performed by Dr. Eldra Walker, UMASS, School of Architecture, and her spring 2019 studio class. Work largely consisted of gathering archival materials and examining the historic context of the building. Completion of the historic structure report was overseen by Professor Ann Marshall, UMASS, School of Architecture, during the fall semester of 2021. Architectural historian Eric Gradoia was brought on to assist in writing the architectural description, examine the architectural plans, and survey the physical condition of the building. Graduate student Carly Regalado, UMASS, School of Architecture, assisted with research and production of the final document.

Unlike past histories of the library—such as Frank Prentice Rand’s The Jones Library in Amherst, 1919-1969 (1969) and the more recent Hearth and Soul: A History of the Jones Library at One Hundred (2019) by Bruce Watson—which take a broad look at all aspects of the institution’s history, this report focuses solely on the history and evolution of the 1928 library building. While these past histories provided valuable insight into the planning leading up to the construction of the building, much of what was learned about the structure came from the building itself, the archives of the Jones Library Special Collections department, and the staff of the Jones Library.

This historic structure report would not have been possible without valuable help from the staff of the Jones Library. The authors wish to thank Sharon Sharry, Library Director, for providing access to the building and sharing her time with us. The authors are also grateful to George Hicks, Facilities Supervisor, for sharing his time discussing the current state of the building and his knowledge of the architectural fragments that remain from past renovations. Lastly, we express our gratitude to Cynthia Harbeson, Head of Special Collections, and her staff for opening their collections to us, answering our questions, and supplying us with numerous files and images, much of which forms the foundation of this report.

The authors would also like to thank Benjamin Breger, Planner for the Town of Amherst, and Jane Wald, Chair of the Amherst Historical Commission for providing valuable help in reviewing the draft document and offering insightful suggestions.
Executive Summary

Designed by the Boston architectural firm of Putnam and Cox and constructed between 1927 to 1928, the Jones Library has always been more than just a repository of the printed word. It has housed an auditorium for public lectures, an art gallery for paintings, and displays belonging to the Amherst Historical Society, and it has hosted countless individuals from all over the world, as well as generations of Amherst families and university students. It is a library in name and a community center in function. Appreciating this, it is no wonder that so many have such strong feelings about this building.

While the 1928 building is credited to the firm of Putnam and Cox, the design was largely the creation of partner Allen H. Cox, a highly educated and talented architect. Schooled in architecture at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology and the École des Beaux-Arts in Paris, Cox was born and raised in South Hadley. His design for the library reveals his roots, for while many describe the building as embodying the Colonial Revival style of architecture, this description fails to do the building justice. While the overall form of the library is representative of the Colonial Revival style in broad terms, many of the details found throughout the building are unique to Connecticut River Valley vernacular architecture and not found outside the area. What’s most impressive about Cox’s use of these details, is that at the time, the distinct character of the Connecticut River Valley vernacular had not been widely studied or written about. So while to the untrained eye it appears as simply an example of Colonial Revival architecture, it is in fact a celebration of Connecticut River Valley architecture and the tradition of the Valley. The Jones Library is listed as a contributing building within the Amherst Central Business District—a National Register district as of 1991—and is also listed in the State Register of Historic Places.

As the Jones Library nears its centennial, it has seen a fair amount of change over the course of its lifetime. In spite of past work performed on the library, the building has a high degree of historic integrity, as it maintains nearly all of its core architectural characteristics, especially as they relate to the exterior of the library. Thankfully, the majority of improvements made to the library were additions rather than subtractions. So, while on a large scale, the construction of the 1993 addition expanded the library and its footprint, only minor alterations were made to the original building to achieve this. Similarly, the library’s interior retains its core attributes, which convey the architect’s intent and how the library originally functioned. Mirroring the domestic appearance of the exterior, the interior of the Jones Library was designed and constructed on a scale and with an appearance reminiscent of a stately eighteenth-century home. This was achieved not only through the arrangement of spaces, but through the scale of the architectural treatment of the rooms, nearly all of which still exist.

Considering its age, the 1928 building appears to remain in good condition, a testament to accomplished design, the use of quality materials, and excellent construction. Any problems that have arisen largely relate to the age of the building and the fact that systems like the roofing, storm windows, and paint finishes have reached the end of their normal service life and need to be addressed. The most serious interior conditions observed relate to water infiltration brought on by roof problems. By and large, the physical condition of the building appears very good.

Perhaps a more far-reaching issue relates to the numerous incremental improvements made to the interior of the library over time in order to add and update modern systems introduced since the building’s construction. The installation of ceiling lighting, fire detection and suppression equipment, and other services is often expensive and difficult to integrate into existing buildings. These things are most commonly installed as seen today, surface mounted on walls and ceilings and routed through rooms across floor levels. Decorative finishes, such as floor coverings and paint colors, change periodically as they wear out or as tastes dictate, so that over the decades, these incremental changes add up, slowly eroding the original
appearance and feel of the building, producing a much lesser design than was originally conceived and resulting in what we see today.

It is understood that the Jones Library is not a museum and can not remain static. While change is inevitable, it does not need to occur at the sacrifice of the historic integrity of the structure. Future growth can be achieved successfully; however, accomplishing this requires careful planning and skillful execution. Stewardship of any resource requires that goals be defined ahead of time in order to identify what needs to be accomplished. As stewards of the Jones Library, the Board of Trustees needs to establish an approach to managing the building with long-term goals in mind, ideally including the preservation of the 1928 building. Guidelines have been included at the end of this document in an effort to establish an approach to the work that will protect the character-defining features of the building, both inside and out, so that future repairs and improvements can be planned and implemented with the least impact to the integrity of the building.

The ultimate goal is to help ensure the long-term preservation of the 1928 library—a work of architecture unique to Amherst that defines the town and serves as a place that for generations has been the vital core of the community.
“Colonial” Architecture of the Connecticut River Valley: The Eighteenth-Century Mansion House as a Model

The inland location of the central Connecticut River Valley towns and villages in the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries helped cultivate a uniquely provincial identity to the furniture and architecture produced there. Unlike urban hubs situated along the coast—Boston, Providence, Portsmouth—and the villages that surrounded them, which benefited from exposure to current tastes and trends from abroad, the rural nature of settlements throughout the Connecticut River Valley resulted in much slower change and fostering of a distinctive style of decorative arts. This manifested itself in many ways and forms, ranging from the ornamentation used to embellish furniture and architectural elements, to works as grand as dwelling houses distinct to the region (fig. 1).

Whereas the coastal elites gathered their fortunes from trade abroad, the wealthy families of the Connecticut River Valley—known as the River Gods—acquired their wealth from the land. The steady rise in the populations of urban areas and their outlying towns increased demand for crops and livestock produced in the highly fertile valley of the Connecticut River. By the second half of the eighteenth century, the farming villages along the Connecticut River in Massachusetts were some of the wealthiest agricultural communities in all of the colonies. ¹

With the emergence of a wealthy upper class in the valley eager to establish and show off their status, a new form of domestic architecture began to appear, one that outwardly displayed the status and taste of those who lived within it. Emerging in the 1740s and lasting until the third quarter of the eighteenth century, the Connecticut River Valley mansion house introduced features that set it apart from the traditional center-chimney houses common to the area. This new type of house was born out of the fashionable Georgian style, popular along the coastal communities and inspired by classical English architecture, yet incorporated distinctive features and elements originating out of the region’s customs. At their core, these houses strived to follow the foundations of classical architecture, employing bilateral symmetry in their facades, careful proportioning of the facade and individual features, and classical architectural elements to embellish both the exterior and the interior of the building. Their general form consisted of buildings two stories tall and either one or two rooms deep. Setting the Connecticut River Valley mansion house apart from its eastern companions, however was a combination of distinct characteristics that elevated its status and differentiated it from ordinary dwellings. Features most commonly associated with these houses include center passage plans, gambrel roofs, and highly decorative frontispieces.

Unlike their center-chimney predecessors, with their small entry vestibules housing tight winder stairs, this new dwelling employed a center passage running the full depth of the house (fig. 2). This deep passage allowed for a straight run of stairs rather than a small winder and replaced the single center chimney with two separate chimneys, each serving rooms to either side of the passage. As the focal point of the space, stairs and their surrounding woodwork were highly embellished, with decorative newel posts, turned balusters, carved end brackets, and raised paneling along the wall ascending the stair. On the exterior, paired chimneys projecting above the roof signaled both a change to the house plan and an occupant who could afford the luxury of building multiple chimney masses.

The use of a gambrel roof rather than the typical pitched roof further set these mansion houses apart from their neighbors (figs. 3 and 4). Gambrel roofs, with their double pitch and ability to accommodate a third floor within, emphasized the scale of these residences and enhanced their appearance. Less readily apparent was the added cost to construct such a roof, a detail that would not have gone unnoticed. In the event that the space under the gambrel was occupied, the added presence of dormers would further embellish both the roof and the overall facade.
Perhaps the most conspicuous feature to grace these dwellings was the highly decorative frontispieces produced in the region (fig. 5). Inspired by the classical surrounds illustrated in English architectural treatises, the frontispieces crafted throughout the region were adapted to accommodate the double doors commonly used at entries and made use of a unique combination of details and ornamentation familiar to the valley. This included the use of rosettes, vines, fans, and elm tree motifs to decorate the surrounds, along with bold scroll pediments, pulvinated friezes, and raised paneling on doors and plinths. This combination of features produced what has come to be referred to as a Connecticut River Valley doorway—an architectural element unique to the valley throughout Massachusetts and Connecticut.²

This combination of elements and center-passage plan produced a house type distinct not just to the region— but to the time as well. By the third quarter of the eighteenth century, this provincial style had begun to wane, in favor of more “correct” classical tastes and evolving house forms. By the start of the American Revolution, gambrel roofs would begin to be replaced with hip roofs, and Connecticut River Valley door surrounds by more accurate representations of classical frontispieces.
Seventeenth- and eighteenth-century Connecticut River Valley furniture and architecture is often decorated with ornamental details unique to the region. These take many forms; however, some more common examples include multi-petaled rosettes, pilaster caps carved with foliage, pulvinated friezes, and vine motifs.

Fig. 1 Around the 1740s, the center-passage plan (right) began to appear in dwellings built by the wealthy elite of the Connecticut River Valley as an alternative to the traditional center-chimney plan (left) commonly used in dwelling-house construction. The center-passage plan created a space that not only accommodated a straight-run stair, but acted as a buffer between public and private spaces within the dwelling house.
Fig. 3  Reverend Eliphalet Williams House, East Hartford, Connecticut, built circa 1750. The gambrel roof, paired chimneys, and scroll top Connecticut River Valley doorway elevate the status of this house compared to typical dwellings of the time. Note the triangular pediments over the ground floor windows.

Fig. 4  Reverend Jonathan Ashley House, Deerfield, Massachusetts, built in 1734, gambrel roof added in 1780. Yet another example of a Connecticut River Valley dwelling house employing the characteristic details of the houses of the social elites of the time. The construction of an ell off the rear of a building provided space for domestic activities (cooking, weaving, sleeping) outside the main portion of the house.
Fig. 5 Two examples of Connecticut River Valley doorways. The Elijah Williams House, Deerfield, Massachusetts, 1760 (left), loaned to Historic Deerfield by Deerfield Academy. The Daniel Fowler House, Westfield, Massachusetts, circa 1762 (right), owned by the Metropolitan Museum of Art.
Architectural Description

While the Jones Library is commonly described as being designed in the Colonial Revival style, a close examination of the building reveals that there is much more to its composition than meets the eye. To be more precise, the architecture of the Jones Library is directly inspired by the early vernacular dwellings of the Connecticut River Valley, a region that, in the eighteenth century, had its own regional culture and produced an equally unique provincial style.\(^3\) Completed in 1928, the Jones Library exhibits a studied understanding of the local historic architecture blended with design and construction methods characteristic of the early twentieth century. The building’s form echoes the sprawling composition of early dwelling houses of the region. The library is composed of three parts—the “formal” center portion, flanked on the east and west sides by smaller wings, with an ell running north off the east wing (fig. 6). Two unusual but functional “sun porches” (as described by the building’s architect, Allen Cox) project from the south facade. The construction of the library utilizes modern construction techniques of the early twentieth century blended with many materials typically associated with buildings of the previous century, such as local fieldstone, brick, slate, and clapboards. The walls of the library are built of a variety of local stone procured from farms in Pelham and North Amherst.\(^4\) Gambrel roofs covered in gray-blue slate with multiple, tall stone chimneys are a prevailing feature throughout, punctuated by dormers serving the upper floors of the building, a further nod to its colonial roots. A decorative wood cornice inspired by Federal designs akin to those published by Asher Benjamin (the noted New England carpenter and father of American builders’ guides) runs under the eave of the roofline. Copper gutters and leaders control runoff from the roofs throughout the building.

The exterior architectural details draw entirely from the colonial palette. A Connecticut River Valley frontis graces the main entry, setting a prevailing tone that carries throughout the building (fig. 7). The frontis is designed after Connecticut River Valley door surrounds popular in the region between the 1740s and the 1760s. This style of frontis was limited to the valley and employed designs and details unique to the local vernacular.\(^5\) While closely modeled after eighteenth-century examples, it incorporates a number of twentieth-century modifications, as can be seen in its integrated transom and sidelights, single glazed door, and overall scale and proportions. The frontis is very skillfully designed and is a wonderful example of a Colonial Revival take on a uniquely Connecticut River Valley form.

The secondary entries were treated in a more creative manner, with glazed and paneled doors ornamented with traditional details. Each of these entries is less formal in its treatment than the main entrance, creating a rather domestic feeling to their design and space. The west entry into the children’s reading room is located under the eaves of the roof, forming a covered porch with a bay window (fig. 8). The east entry, now converted to universal access, is similar to the west only in function, in that the entry is located under cover of the projecting roof and flanked by large windows. The posts here are embellished with rosettes, moldings, and tombstone panels, all details based on Connecticut River Valley designs.

The library’s fenestration consists entirely of divided-light windows in a variety of configurations. The center portion of the building employs traditionally proportioned 12-over-12 double-hung wood windows in the main facade. Louvered shutters, now missing, originally flanked these openings (fig. 9). On the sun porches, large double-hung sash windows are located in each of the three elevations, allowing the rooms to receive large amounts of natural light. Elsewhere, where the design permitted, large fixed windows were placed to illuminate the interior.

The east elevation is the secondary facade of the building and is composed in a much more irregular arrangement than the south elevation. The composition is evocative of the rambling ells found on early dwelling houses of the area, spaces that originally housed kitchens, wood sheds, carriages, and so on, in structures that
organically evolved over time. The fall of the 
grade exposes the basement story at the north end, 
increasing the scale of the building here. The ell 
originally housed the library’s auditorium, with 
each portion of the ell accommodating a specific 
function—entry, seating, and stage. As if to almost 
accentuate its lesser status compared to the front 
of the library, this elevation is constructed using 
a patch quilt of materials, including stone, brick, 
and clapboard. A set of stone steps with wood 
railings ascends alongside the building under 
cover of a standing-seam copper roof, providing a 
secondary means of access to what was once the 
auditorium. Double-hung, divided-light windows 
prevail on the first and second floors of the 
building. The dormer windows employ decorative 
tracery reminiscent of designs found in Federal 
architecture, but at a much larger scale. This detail 
has been seen in other buildings by architects 
Putnam & Cox, notably in the Kirstein Business 
Branch, Boston Public Library (fig. 10).

On the north elevation of the ell is the original end 
wall of the auditorium (fig. 11). Centered on the 
second floor of this elevation, set within a central 
pavilion finished in clapboards, is a Palladian 
window.

Attached to the north end of the ell, the 1993 
addition extends to the west and returns south 
to meet the original west end of the library. This 
L-shaped addition creates a central court enclosed 
by a glazed pyramidal roof structure. The design 
of the addition is unpretentious, mimicking styles 
and forms found in the original building yet on 
a smaller scale and in different materials. The 
gambrel roof form creates a continuity among 
the old and the new, with standing-seam copper 
roofing replacing slate. Red brick set in a 1:5 
common bond is the prevailing material for the 
side walls of the addition. The north elevation 
is relatively austere, with uniformly arranged 
fenestration and dormers. 12-over-12 double-
hung windows on the first-floor and matched 
with 8-over-12 windows at the second-floor 
level. Gable roof dormers are illuminated with 
6-over-6 sash windows. A magnificent elliptical 
fanlight salvaged from the Frank S. Whipple 
House, once located on North Pleasant Street east 
of the library, and reused in the 1928 library, has 
been incorporated into the attic story of the 1993 
addition’s west wing (fig. 12 and 13).

The west elevation of the addition consists of 
a one-and-a-half story gambrel roof structure 
attached to the backside of the original library’s 
west wing, with a two-story gable roof section 
tyling the addition into the north end of the original 
library’s west wing.

The interior of the Jones Library remains fairly 
intact, given its age and the past renovations 
performed on the building. Aside from significant 
interior alterations made to the spaces that 
served and housed the auditorium, the majority 
of the original floor plan remains largely intact 
throughout the first, second, and third floors (figs. 
14-18). Furthermore, much of the interior finishes 
(surfaces, flooring, ceilings, cornices), along with 
nearly all of the millwork (stairs, doors, mantels, 
wainscoting and paneling, built-in cabinetry), 
have been retained and are in very good condition 
considering their age. Most impressive is that the 
natural wood finishes of the millwork—much of 
which is Philippine mahogany—have not been 
everpainted and remain in very good condition. 
Surfaces such as the floors, walls, and ceilings 
have been covered with later finishes—carpeting 
in the case of the floors, and paint on the walls and 
ceilings. 

While the floor plans remain, the use of the spaces 
within them have changed considerably, radically 
altering the way the building was originally 
intended to operate. As a consequence, the way 
visitors experience the building today is very 
different from how it would have been experienced 
in the early decades of its existence. Entries have 
been reduced in number, rooms originally open to 
the public have been closed off for administrative 
purposes or storage, and the addition of the 1993 
atrium has diminished the domestic feeling the 
interior was originally planned to have.

The ground floor currently consists of offices in 
the spaces east of the main stair, the children’s 
stacks and reading area west of the stair, and
stacks throughout the area north of the main staircase. The second floor retains its original floor plan, consisting of three large rooms flanked by smaller rooms over the wings at the east and west ends of the building. The three principal rooms in the center block of the building currently include Technology Services in the north and west rooms, and the Amherst Room to the east. The room above the west wing is currently an extension of the children’s stacks; this room ties into what was originally the Fine Arts Room and the end of the original part of the library. North of this is a passage housing stairs and an elevator, along with access to the Special Collections department located within the northernmost portion of the second floor, occupying portions of both the 1993 addition and the 1928 building.

The third floor of the library remains practically unchanged since its construction. It is a space unique to its time. Situated in the most private part of the building, the floor is divided into two areas; the trustees’ meeting room occupies the western portion of the plan, and a series of small, private writing rooms fill the eastern part. The trustees’ meeting room was originally intended as a studio for special exhibitions; however, in the 1960s the space became known as the Robert Frost Rooms, encompassing the meeting rooms and the adjacent Writing Room used as a secretary’s office. On the opposite side of the stairs are five rooms, originally designed as private spaces for individuals to work in, providing writers close proximity to the library’s collections. Each room receives natural light and ventilation from the dormer windows. These spaces are currently used for storage, with the exception of one being used as an office. A toilet room remains at the east end of the floor.

The basement has been heavily renovated to provide space for both library patrons and mechanical equipment. The majority of the area houses stacks and meeting spaces constructed as part of the 1993 improvements. Mechanical systems are located along the east side of the plan in rooms originally intended for these services.

The center court under the glazed roof and surrounding parts of the 1993 addition houses stacks, administrative stations, offices, and support spaces (mechanical rooms, storage rooms, restrooms, etc.). It is purely functional in plan, maximizing its use of space by offering large, open rooms for stacks and clustering smaller rooms together where support spaces are necessary.

Although the size and use of rooms vary greatly throughout the original portion of the library, a universal palette of materials was used to finish them. In keeping with the domestic theme of the architecture, the interior finishes are modeled after those typically found in formal examples of colonial dwellings, with key differences between the two lying in the materials used, the level of decorative treatment, and the methods in which they are employed (fig.19). In general, the principal areas of the library received hardwood flooring, ceilings with molded plaster cornices, and walls with wood wainscot and flat plaster above. Depending on their use, some rooms have specialty millwork, such as bookshelves, mantels, and built-in cabinetry.

The quality of the interior millwork is worth noting. When the building was constructed, the finish woodwork cost $42,642, representing approximately 18 percent of the overall cost of the building, a significant sum and the single greatest line item of work in the payment schedule. Records submitted by the general contractor show that 20,000 [board] feet of Philippine mahogany was used for the millwork in the building; 3,500 [board] feet of akle, referred to as Philippine walnut, was used for the main staircase; and 20,000 [board] feet of oak flooring was laid. Enhancing the natural beauty of the wood is the design of the finish woodwork and its embellishment. From the execution of the main staircase—modeled after those found in eighteenth-century Georgian style homes of the area—to the built-in cabinetry located in the offices and second floor meeting rooms, along with the various mantels throughout the building, the level of design and workmanship is noteworthy (fig.20 and 21).
There is a certain restrained elegance to the treatment of the millwork. Much of the ornament used to embellish the woodwork is carved into the material, rather than being applied, similar to that found in Federal architecture. Examples of this abound throughout the library, such as punch and gouge work used on friezes and cornices along with paneled doors constructed using bead and butt work, a common construction detail seen at the turn of the nineteenth-century (fig. 22).

Some of the more interesting, uniquely original ornamentation found in the library can be found in the carvings used in the pilasters of the entry vestibule and children’s reading room (figs. 23 and 24). Inspired by decorative practices found in seventeenth- and eighteenth-century Connecticut River Valley furniture and architecture, in which floral and foliage motifs were carved into surfaces to decorate them, the same practice has been used here in the areas of pilaster capitals. Whereas the flower carving is indicative of the traditional tulip and vine design seen historically, the stems wheat is an original design symbolizing the town’s ties to the land and agricultural heritage.
Fig. 6  South elevation of the Jones Library, November 2017. Image courtesy of the Jones Library.

Fig. 7  The frontis at the south entry is based on Connecticut River Valley door surrounds popular during the mid-eighteenth century. These door surrounds drew from classical examples illustrated in English architectural treatises and found in urban areas along the coast; however, they employed architectural details and proportions unique to the valley. This twentieth-century adaptation takes the design one step further, incorporating a single glazed door and sidelights into the design. Note the carvings in the capitals and pineapple feature in the center of the scroll pediment.
Fig. 8 West wing. The door here originally provided access directly into the children’s stacks and reading room. This entry is no longer used. The door here is very similar to the doors that were located at the east entry prior to being converted into a universally accessible entry.

Fig. 9 The Jones Library circa 1928. The majority of the window openings were flanked by painted wood shutters. These reinforced the domestic appearance of the building and helped break up the visual appearance of the facade.
Fig. 10  The dormers on the east side of the library are treated with decorative tracery that helps to break up the glazing in the opening. This window design shows up in the Kirstein Business Branch of the Boston Public Library designed by Putnam and Cox in 1930. Note the missing slates and condition of the roof in general.

Fig. 11  North elevation of the east wing. The five-bay composition with center pavilion and Palladian window is a wonderful surprise on this lesser facade of the building. On the interior, the Palladian window formed a backdrop to the auditorium’s stage, located at this end of the building.
Fig. 12  The fanlight here was previously located in the north gable of the west wing and moved to this location during the 1993 campaign. The fanlight is originally from the Whipple House, which served for a short period as the Jones Library.

Fig. 13  The Dr. Belden Whipple House, January 1927. The Jones Library was housed in the Whipple House between 1926 and 1929, when it moved into the newly completed Putnam and Cox building. Note the fanlight window now in the north elevation of the library. The Whipple House was located on North Pleasant Street. Its parcel forms part of the land the library is constructed on.
Fig. 14 Interior of the Auditorium looking south. The projection booth can be seen at the rear of the space near the ceiling. Below the projection booth is a gallery for seating. At floor level, a set of doors opens to the foyer of the library's east entry. Note the mantel and fireplace between the door openings. Photograph circa 1928.

Fig. 15 Interior of the auditorium looking north. Note the Palladian window hidden behind curtains at the back of the stage. Photograph circa 1928.
Fig. 16 This drawing done in 1964 illustrates the 1928 plan of the first floor. Note the auditorium and stage in the east wing.
Fig. 17 This drawing done in 1964 illustrates the 1928 plan of the second floor.
Fig. 18  This drawing done in 1964 illustrates the 1928 plan of the third floor.
Fig. 19 First floor office. Practically all of the finish woodwork is done in Philippine mahogany. Architectural details such as the fireplace mantel and overmantel are inspired by late eighteenth/early nineteenth-century designs; however, the three-panel door and the glazed door are unique to the early twentieth century. This mixing of old and new designs is a common feature of Colonial Revival architecture.

Fig. 20 The main staircase is built using akle or Philippine walnut. The staircase is modeled after fashionable stairs seen in the mid-eighteenth century. Note the carved spiral newel post and spiral balusters.
Fig. 21 Many of the mantels are based on Federal-style designs popular in New England between 1790 and the 1820s. Note the details in the reeded pilasters and center panel. As with the other millwork, the mantel is Philippine mahogany. Mechanical systems now close the opening to the fireplace.

Fig. 22 Second floor, main stair hall. Close-up of the architrave showing the level of detail employed in its design. Note the carved keystone and the punch and gouge work used on the flats and the transom light. The paneled jambs of the arch are an added delight.
Fig. 23 Wheat motif carved into the pilaster capitals of the bookcases in the children’s stacks.

Fig. 24 Floral motif found on the pilaster capitals of the chimney breast in the children’s stacks. Note the extent of the molded surfaces throughout.
Analysis of the Architectural Plans in Relation to the Original Interior Layout and Exterior Design

The Architects

The Boston architectural firm Putnam and Cox was formed in or around 1901 by William Edward Putnam, Jr. and Allen Howard Cox. In about 1939, Allen’s son, Gardner Cox, and Nathaniel Saltonstall joined the partnership, forming Putnam and Cox and Saltonstall, which lasted until 1944. Over the four decades of their existence, the firm would be responsible for numerous buildings throughout Massachusetts and the surrounding states. The firm and their projects have largely been understudied, and the full body of their work is unknown; however, within Massachusetts there are fifty buildings and structures known to belong to them.

Both Putnam and Cox were born and educated in Massachusetts. William E. Putnam, Jr. was born on January 12, 1873, in Newton Center, Massachusetts. He attended the Chauncy Hall school in Boston from 1884 to 1892, and graduated from Harvard University in 1896 and the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) in 1898. Putnam married Helen Preston Haughwout in Fall River, Massachusetts, on June 10, 1908. He and his wife would go on to live in Milton, Massachusetts, for the majority of their lives. Following Allen Cox’s death, Putnam joined with Roger Griswold, Cecil Wylde, and Theodore Ames, establishing the firm Putnam, Griswold, Wylde, and Ames, where he practiced until his death. William Putnam died in Boston on August 4, 1947.

Allen Howard Cox was born in South Hadley, Massachusetts, in 1873 (fig. 25). He attended school in Holyoke and at Williston Seminary (and now the Williston Northampton School). Cox studied architecture at MIT as well as the École des Beaux-Arts in Paris. He would go on to be an assistant professor at MIT until 1913. Cox married his first wife, Catherine Gilbert Abbott, a portrait painter, in 1904. Following her death in 1936, Cox married Blanche Brotherton, a faculty member of Mount Holyoke College.

Cox resided in Cambridge for much of his adult life. He passed away at his country house in Granby, Massachusetts, on July 5, 1944.

The firm Putnam and Cox was responsible for numerous private, public, and institutional buildings throughout Massachusetts. The firm's best known works are often cited as the Jones Library and the Lord Jeffery Inn (now the Inn on Boltwood), both in Amherst, Massachusetts. It has been credited with the design and construction of nine fraternity houses at Amherst College and the Skinner and Clapp science buildings at Mount Holyoke College. Putnam and Cox constructed numerous buildings in Boston and the vicinity, including the American Unitarian Association building at 25 Beacon Street (1926-27), the Boston Toy Theatre (later known as the Copley Theatre), Copley Square (1914), and additions to the Hotel Bellevue in Beacon Hill.

Putnam and Cox worked in a broad range of styles popular during the early decades of the twentieth century. Many of their institutional works are designed in what was called the “New Colonial” style, commonly referred to today as Colonial Revival architecture. Inspired by the design of American building forms from the seventeenth, eighteenth, and early nineteenth centuries and their palette of materials and architectural elements, Colonial Revival architecture ranges from works employing a free use of design and ornament to very faithful works that draw exclusively from specific early styles of architecture. In this latter category, Putnam and Cox would be responsible for the reconstruction of Montpelier (1929)—General Henry Knox’s 1795 home in Thomaston, Maine (demolished in 1871)—and the Kirstein Business Branch of the Boston Public Library (1930), 20 City Hall Ave.), a reconstruction of the center pavilion of Charles Bulfinch’s Tontine Crescent (demolished in 1858).

While correspondence and original building documents clearly indicate that Allen Cox was principally responsible for the design of the Jones Library, along with oversight of its construction, one only needs to examine the building carefully to understand that whoever designed it must have...
been from the region, specifically the Connecticut River Valley. While the overall form and massing of the library is characteristic of the Colonial Revival style in broad terms, many of the details found throughout the building are distinct to Connecticut River Valley vernacular architecture and not found elsewhere. What’s most impressive about Cox’s use of these details in the Jones Library, is that at the time, the unique character of the Connecticut River Valley vernacular had not largely been studied or written about. Its use here is clearly the influence of Cox’s native architecture shaping the fashionable New Colonial style popular at the time, the most noticeable feature being the scroll-top Connecticut River Valley frontis, along with the composition and ornamentation found at the east and west entries as well as numerous interior elements.

The 1928 Library

As the Jones Library nears its centennial, it has seen a fair amount of change over the course of its lifetime. In spite of past work done to the Jones Library, the building has a high degree of historic integrity, as it maintains nearly all of its core architectural characteristics, especially as it relates to the exterior of the library. Thankfully, the majority of improvements made to the library have been additions rather than subtractions. So while the construction of the 1993 addition expanded the library and its footprint, only minor alterations were made to the original building to achieve this. In comparison, the renovations to the auditorium made in 1968 and subsequently in 1993 were less sensitive and completely stripped the space of its early architectural fabric. Thankfully, these alterations were limited to the interior of the library, so they did not affect the exterior form or massing of the building, allowing its general appearance to remain undisturbed.

When viewed from the southeast (from the corner of South Pleasant and Amity Streets), the library appears nearly as it did when it was first constructed. From this angle, the building’s form and massing are readily evident, displaying its boxy body, gambrel roofs, and single-story wings, along with the sprawling ell trailing off to the rear of the lot. To the unfamiliar, it appears as if it is a large residence, as intended by the architect. This is reinforced by the use of traditional domestic details for many of the building’s exterior architectural elements, which include the following:

- Frontis and door at the south entry
- Slate roof, dormers, and chimneys
- Classical cornice
- Copper gutter and leaders
- Fenestration and multi-light, wood sash windows throughout the building
- Sun porches
- East and west entries and surrounding treatments
- Ancillary entries along the east elevation

These elements and materials define the Colonial Revival style of the library.

Similarly, the library’s interior retains core attributes that convey the architect’s intent and how the library originally functioned. Mirroring the domestic appearance of the exterior, the interior of the Jones Library was designed and constructed in a manner and appearance reminiscent of a stately eighteenth-century home. This was achieved through the arrangement of spaces, the scale of the rooms, and their architectural treatment, nearly all of which still exist (Fig. 26).

The interior was originally organized as a series of discrete areas, each intended for specific uses or activities. A close inspection of the library’s original plan shows a clear hierarchy of spaces by both floor and level, with the most public rooms located on the ground floor, and increasingly private spaces as one ascends through the building. The primary functions of each floor were designated as follows:
Basement:
- Staff quarters (kitchenette, locker room, restrooms)
- Mechanical rooms (electrical, boiler, and coal room)
- Janitor rooms
- Storage room for the auditorium
- Display of Amherst Historical Society’s stagecoach
- Garage for the library’s book wagon

First Floor:
- Children’s area (at west end of floor), consisting of children’s reading room, stacks, and librarian’s office
- Main reading room, stacks, newspapers and periodicals
- Art room and Special Collections
- Offices and meeting rooms
- Auditorium with approximately 260 seats and supporting spaces

Second Floor
- Exhibition room
- Amherst collection room (also used for committee meetings and small study groups)
- Jones Memorial Room (also for trustees’ meetings and fine editions/collections of books)
- Special Book Room

Third Floor:
- The Studio (also used for special art exhibitions, evening study classes and special groups)
- Five writing rooms for private use

These were the intended functions of each floor as designed by the architect, but as with all buildings, once they began to be occupied, the spaces took on a life of their own, with each room adopting a function in response to how the building operated.

With the building campaigns of 1968 and 1993, changes began to be made to the original building plan. It appears from the construction documents for each campaign, that original doorways between rooms were closed off in some locations and new walls built in other rooms to partition them. This is most readily apparent in the main stair hall, where doorways into the west room have been closed, and in the east foyer, where doors that once led into the auditorium have been infilled. So spaces that were once traversable, with openings and direct access to other rooms, now act and feel like corridors experienced only while on the way to a destination.

The second and third floors of the library have changed very little since they were built, with the original floor plan remaining remarkably intact. Each of the upper floors is unique, with the large rooms of the second floor geared toward accommodating groups of people, and the third floor offering more intimate spaces. Slight changes to the second-floor rooms include the addition of an office partition in the western portion of Technology Services, changes to wall and floor treatments, and the addition of modern systems mounted to the ceilings and elsewhere in these spaces. The third floor does not appear to have been altered at all (except for surface treatments). Today its plan of small, separate rooms appears unconventional; however, it is a product of its time, when access to information was analog and the ability of a person to retrieve large amounts of documents necessitated being in close physical proximity to them, a foreign concept today.
Fig. 25  Laying of the cornerstone for the Jones Library. From left to right: Rev. John J. O’Malley from St. Brigid Catholic Church; George Cutler, unknown; Arthur S. Pease, president of Amherst College; Roscoe W. Thatcher, president of Massachusetts Agricultural College; Allen H. Cox, architect; and Dr. John M. Tyler, unknown; unknown. October 18, 1927.
Fig. 26 View of the main reading room, circa 1929. Note the furnishings, floor coverings, artwork, and lighting placed throughout the space.
Chronology and Description of Known Alterations and Reasoning Behind Changes

Since its completion in 1928, the Jones Library has seen two large-scale campaigns of improvements made to the building, the first in 1967-68 undertaken by Alderman and MacNeish, Architects and Engineers, West Springfield, Massachusetts, and the second completed in 1993 by Mark Mitchell Associates, Hanover, New Hampshire. Both projects were undertaken in response to the need for additional space, not simply for stacks, but for library staff, modern accommodations, and updated building systems. Documentation shows that neither project was rushed into. Each project began with years of preplanning, exploring various options and configurations, and still the products of each campaign provided only about a thirty-year service life. The greatest impact to the 1928 building in both campaigns was largely isolated to the interior of the auditorium wing (described in the next sections); however, some removals were made to the north side of the building during the 1993 work to open the original building to the newly created atrium area.

For comparative purposes, the original library cost $235,879.97 to build in 1928 and took sixteen months to construct.  

1968 Alderman and MacNeish Campaign

The September 1965 report titled A Building Study of the Jones Library, Amherst, Massachusetts, produced by Francis P. Keough, library building consultant and director of the Springfield City Library, begins by stating:

“At its meeting of June 24, 1964, the Board of Trustees of the Jones Library authorized the Library’s Director, William F. Merrill, to engage the services of Alderman & MacNeish, Architects and Engineers, to undertake a study of that Library. The purpose of the study was to produce a plan which would make more efficient the operation of the entire library.” He then goes on to outline the principal problems of the building. In short, he states that "the adult collection of books are spread out over three floors and need double the amount of shelving to accommodate them adequately. Furthermore, many of the departments (Children’s, Special Collections, Library Work Room) have outgrown their spaces and need approximately double the amount of room." Conversely, the auditorium was considered too large for the library’s needs and a waste of space.

To solve these issues, the idea was to “better organize the library’s function, to provide adequately for the growing collections, and to make space for the ever increasing number of library users.” Alderman and MacNeish developed a handful of schematic designs to resolve these problems, of which Scheme E was chosen as the best solution (fig. 27). This design reorganized and added to the existing shelving in the library, increased the amount of adult seating from 37 to approximately 112 seats, and converted the auditorium “from what is now a white elephant into prime library space” by installing a second floor into it, which would accommodate forty-five thousand volumes. The design planned on converting the existing adult reading room and reference room into a new children’s room and relocating the Boltwood Room from the first floor to the second floor. The basement would be used for arts groups and staff work space. It is interesting to note that designs show the west doorway at the foot of the main staircase being closed off as part of this work.

The project went from a schematic design to construction in 1967, when a building permit was issued in April for alterations totaling $162,720 (the final project is believed to have cost in the area of $183,500). Work started at the end of the year and was completed in 1968. It appears that all of the recommendations were implemented, providing the library with the space and organization it needed for the time being.
1993 Mark Mitchell Associates Campaign

Plans for the next campaign to expand the library began in the late 1980s. Again, this work was spurred by the library’s need for more space and the ability to accommodate new and modern forms of media and technology.

The library commissioned Mark Mitchell Associates to draft plans for an addition to the building in December 1988. The plans proposed an 18,000-square-foot addition (ultimately scaled down to 12,000 sq. ft.) coming off the west side of the building and extending north. A second wing would extend off this new wing to the east, where it would meet the west end of the north ell (fig. 28). Enclosing the court formed by these new wings would be a glass atrium.

Interior additions and alterations included:

- Removal of the second floor of the former auditorium space to create a single floor of stacks open to the ceiling
- A new reference section
- An expanded basement area housing stacks
- A new Special Collections department housed in the north addition
- Reorganization of administrative spaces and meeting rooms
- New heating and cooling systems, lighting, an elevator, and universal access.

Some alterations and removals were made to the 1928 building at this time to accommodate the new additions and atrium. These appear to have been done to facilitate access between the spaces and to do away with features that became redundant as a result of the new construction, such as the north portico and door and window locations converted into openings to integrate the new spaces. This work also included adding some walls and closing existing openings to both create new spaces and control movement through the building. Most significant of these changes include closing the remaining original doorway in the west wall of the main stair hall and partitioning the east sun porch to create an office.

A building permit was pulled in July 1990 for “an addition and interior alterations” totaling $3,329,097. The project was finished three years later, and on October 16, 1993, the library was rededicated and reopened to the public.

Fig. 28. The Mark Mitchell Associates addition completed in 1993 added to the north and west of the building and enclosed the court with a glass atrium, resulting in what is seen today.
Fig. 27 Alderman and MacNeish drawing of Scheme E for alterations to the library. The greatest change is to the auditorium area, which had a second floor inserted and stacks added throughout.
Survey of Existing Conditions

Roof

The majority of the slate roof appears to be original and is at the end of its service life (fig. 29). The roof exhibits numerous problems typical of slate roofs of this age and design. It appears to have been well maintained over the decades; however, as with all forms of roofing, it is at a point where the roofing system needs to be removed and replaced in kind with a new slate roof matching the original design.

Monson slate (named after the source of the quarry, Monson, Maine) was originally specified; however, archival documents show that the architects approved a request by the contractor to switch the material to Buckingham, Virginia, slate without any difference in cost. Although termed Oxford Gray, Buckingham slate appears gray-blue and is presumably the slate that remains on the roof. Unlike Monson slate, Buckingham slate is still quarried and available as a roofing material.

Typical problems observed across all the slated areas include the following:

- Broken slates missing their margin (exposed area)
- Cracked slates partially remaining
- Ill-fitting replacement slates
- Old repairs that have since failed

Historic images show that mitered hips were used on the east porch roof and that open valleys, specified to be lined in copper, were also used. It is interesting to note that the roof was laid with graduated coursing, so that as the slates neared the curb of the gambrel, their size and exposure to the weather slightly diminished (fig. 30). Roof flashings appear to be a mix of bright copper and either lead-coated copper or sheet lead, depending on the application.

A detail that cannot be seen but that relates to the roofing concerns the deck the slates are applied to. The architects originally specified that the slates were to be nailed into wood cleats embedded in the cement roof deck. This detail was changed during the course of construction. Instead of embedding wood nailers into the concrete deck, a product called Nalecode was used on the upper slabs of the roof decks to create a nailing surface for the slates. Recent repair of the east entry roof confirmed the use of this material under the slates.

Like the slate roof, the standing-seam copper roof over the double doors on the east side of the ell is at the end of its service life and should be replaced in kind (fig. 31). While the surface of the copper roof was not observable, a section of it along the eave edge has pulled up, and the head flashing where it meets the masonry side wall has been replaced in the recent past.

Chimneys

The library still retains the six chimneys it was constructed with, though a number of them are no longer in use. Three of the chimneys originally served the active fireplaces in the library, one was used by the boiler, and two appear to have been designed to provide ventilation to the auditorium. Close inspection of the chimneys was not possible for this report; however, discussions with facilities staff revealed that many of the chimneys are not capped and remain open to the elements. All of the chimneys appear to be in good condition; however, a close inspection of them is necessary to determine their individual conditions.

All of the chimneys were repointed in 2010–11. The mortar mix used to repoint the chimneys appears to be a modern, premixed pointing mortar and does not match the color and texture of the original pointing (fig. 32). Aside from its appearance, it’s not likely causing any issues and is only an aesthetic concern.

The two arched cast concrete chimney caps on the north chimneys are original features, but they have been replaced with new caps that match the original design. An original cap has been saved by the library and is currently located along the drive on the east side of the site (fig. 33).
The majority of stone used in the walls was obtained from the area. Notes in the Progress Report kept by Stafford Fox Thomas, the trustees, clerk of the works, record that rubble stone was bought from farms in Pelham and North Amherst, and granite for cut cornerstones was obtained from a Pelham Cider Mill. A small number of stones were donated to the library by various individuals and came from elsewhere. Notes in the library’s Special Collections department identify the various donors and the approximate locations where these stones were used.

The stones are set in a bedding mortar and finished with a pointing mortar. A close inspection of the original pointing mortar is visible in the current Special Collections department, where a portion of the north ell’s west wall is present. Unlike modern-bagged pointing mortars (as seen on the chimneys), the original pointing mortar was site mixed using a combination of cement and different sands that produced a pointing mortar with a slightly buff appearance and pronounced aggregate displaying a variety of colors. A close inspection of the mortar here reveals a slight variation in color, the product of minor variations in proportions as a result of hand mixing the materials. Any large-scale repointing of the original building should use examples of the original mortar as a reference for matching the color, texture, and profile of new pointing mixes.

A couple of tight yet long vertical cracks are visible on the east wall of the northernmost portion of the ell, where the auditorium was originally located (fig. 36 and 37). The exact cause of these cracks is not known; however, given the amount of changes and alterations made to this location, they may relate to structural alterations performed here. Tie rods on the north end of the building here suggest that the walls have been reinforced sometime in the past. These cracks should be examined by a professional engineer to determine their cause and status.

While not readily noticeable, given its age and exposure to the elements, the masonry is likely soiled to a certain degree from atmospheric pollutants. Cleaning the sidewalls with a mild

**Gutters and Leaders**

The building was originally designed with half-round copper gutters and leaders on both the main gambrel and smaller surrounding roofs. The existing gutters and leaders are in-kind replacements, matching the originals in material and design. The gutters and leaders remain in good condition; however, they suffer the typical problems all gutters face from New England winters: largely bent areas where snow and ice slides have made their best attempts to tear the gutters from the building.

**Cornice**

The center block of the library has a deep, decorative wood cornice modeled after those found on fashionable dwellings of the late eighteenth century (fig. 34). These types of cornices were originally inspired by designs published in architecture books by Asher Benjamin. Benjamin, who is attributed with publishing the first American architectural treatise, lived in Greenfield, Massachusetts and published his first book, *The Country Builder’s Assistant* (1797), there as well. While such a cornice may simply be present owing to the building’s colonial precedent, one can only wonder if it was a conscious nod to Benjamin by architect Allen Cox, who most certainly would have been aware of Benjamin’s work.

The raking cornices are not detailed and are instead are made up of flat wood stock with a bead and painted.

The cornices appear to be in good condition and suffer only from failing and peeling paint. They should be prepped and painted to prevent any decay of the woodwork.

**Masonry**

The bulk of the building’s sidewalls are finished in natural rubble stone set in somewhat level courses. With the exception of a few isolated cracks (mentioned later) the stone walls and mortar are in excellent condition considering their age.
masonry detergent formulated for the stone and mortar present may reveal a dramatic difference in the appearance of the building. Undertaking a small test area on an inconspicuous portion of the building can be done to weigh the results of cleaning.

A portion of the east elevation is constructed in brick set in 1:5 common bond, suggesting it is true brick construction rather than simply a veneer. The brickwork appears to be in good condition, with no noticeable issues or failures. It is interesting to note that the brick here was originally painted, likely the same color as the adjacent clapboards, creating a more unified appearance to the facade rather than the patch quilt of materials presently seen (fig. 38).

**Sun Porches**

The two sun porches projecting off the front of the library are interesting features (fig. 39). Unlike the rest of the building, these elements lack any historic precedent and are entirely original features designed by Allen Cox, who justified them by stating that they would be “two of the most charming nooks in the interior.” As the sun porches project out from the body of the building, their three sides, with large glazed window openings, would have allowed the space within to be flooded with natural light. With no air conditioning at the time of construction, the open windows would have provided ample ventilation during summer months.

While these features appear to be frame construction, they are only veneered with wood trim. Like the rest of the building, their underlying structure is brick and steel (fig. 40). Overall, the sun porches are in good shape. The greatest issue plaguing them is failing paint (fig. 41). Both need a proper paint job, including scraping, prep, prime, and top coats of a high quality paint finish. Paint analysis should be done to determine the original color prior to repainting the building. Like the rest of the building, the slate roofs are also at the end of their service life and should be replaced.

**Windows and Shutters**

The library’s fenestration consists of divided-light windows in a variety of configurations. The south elevation of the main building employs traditionally proportioned 12-over-12 double-hung wood windows (fig. 42). Louvered wood shutters, now missing, originally flanked these openings. In the sun porches, large double-hung wood windows (6-over-9 and 5-over-15) are located in the three elevations, providing the spaces with as much natural light as possible. Elsewhere, where the design permitted, large fixed windows were placed to illuminate spaces.

Nearly all of the window openings were originally flanked by louvered wood shutters (fig. 43). Most, if not all of the shutter hardware remains mounted to the building. The shutters survived up until fairly recently, and were most likely removed as part of the 1993 renovations. A reference to the shutters in the construction Progress Report makes mention that Allen Cox “favored a lead color” for them. Given the prominence of the shutters and the impact they had on the appearance of the building, they should be reinstated to enhance the exterior of the building. The library has one pair of shutters in storage. Paint analysis of these existing shutters would provide a basis for their original color.

All of the openings have been fitted with exterior, aluminum storm windows. These have protected the exterior surfaces of the wood windows from the elements in addition to tightening the building’s envelope. A number of the storm windows on the dormers have had UV-filter film applied to the glazing. This film has reached the end of its service life and is failing on nearly all of the windows it has been applied to (fig. 44). The storm windows are nearing the end of their service life and should be replaced with a high-quality, low-profile storm window system fitted to the configuration of the different sash arrangements.

The paint finish on the interior of the sash is failing on many of the windows. This is mostly occurring on the horizontals of the stiles, a typical problem on wood sash of this age. This type of
paint failure is caused when condensation forms on the window panes and runs off, saturating the sash. This, in combination with UV degradation of the paint, ultimately results in the conditions found here. (fig. 45 and 46).

Entries

The three primary entries to the library are all located on the south elevation. There is a clear hierarchy to the original entries, with the center entrance having a very formal appearance and the two ancillary entrances designed akin to their use.

The principal entry to the library, located in the center bay of the main block, is inspired by the treatment of mid-eighteenth-century houses of the wealthy elite. The frontis is designed after Connecticut River Valley door surrounds popular in the region between the 1740s and the 1760s. This style of frontis was limited to the valley and employed designs and details unique to the local vernacular. While closely modeled after eighteenth-century examples, it incorporates a number of twentieth-century modifications, as can be seen in its integrated transom and sidelights, single glazed door, and overall scale and proportions (fig. 47). The frontis is very skillfully designed and is a wonderful example of a Colonial Revival take on a uniquely Connecticut River Valley form.

Overall, the entry appears to be in good condition and remains largely intact and complete. Like many of the painted surfaces, its finish is failing and exposing bare wood in places. It needs a proper paint job, including scraping, prep, prime, and top coats of a high-quality paint finish. It appears to have all of its original hardware, as well as the light fixture installed at the time the library was constructed. One detail that has been altered is the pineapple crowning the pedestal in the scroll. This feature, a symbol representing hospitality, originally had leaves surrounding the body of the fruit (fig. 48). It is unclear what these leaves were constructed of; however, there is a note dated May 15, 1931, from the librarian to Allen Cox stating, “Another thing which has come up recently is that of painting the pineapple over the front doorway. You will probably remember the gilded spines. What is the best kind of paint or other material to be used? Something is needed right away, so I shall be glad to have your kind advice.”

This would seem to indicate that the leaves were painted or gilded in some form of metallic finish, possibly gold leaf. It is likely that the original paint finish was not as brilliant white as the current paint; in fact, it’s possible that it wasn’t painted white at all. Paint analysis should be done to determine the original color prior to repainting the building.

Aside from changes in the grade leading up to the west entry, this location remains largely unchanged. Its design has a very domestic/residential feeling to it, with the doorway being flanked by a large bay window, both under cover of the deep eave. Unfortunately, this entrance is no longer active. The entry vestibule is currently being used for storage and not accessible to the public.

The east entry—originally the entry to the auditorium foyer—now provides universal access to the building. The original design of the entry has been altered to accommodate a ramp and an ADA compliant doorway. The design to accomplish this was not sympathetic to the historic fabric of the building, burying the bottoms of the portico posts in concrete, removing the original doors, and infilling the doorway with a modern generic clad door (fig. 49). The original double doors to this location still survive and have been reused on the small brick shed at the end of the east drive. Although they have been covered with plywood and are unrecognizable, they should be retained as examples of original material and, if plans allow, should also be used to replicate new doors for this location. The light fixture for the porch has been replaced with a modern one. The original fixture remains in the library’s collections and matches the type used at the west entry and elsewhere.
Site

The landscape immediately surrounding the library is heavily planted and includes a number of large trees in close proximity to the building (fig. 50 and 51). The current state of the landscape presents a number of issues. The amount, type, and density of the surrounding plantings obscures the majority of the building’s south (front) elevation when they are covered in leaves. In addition to hiding the building, this condition prevents natural light from entering the building and, more detrimental, slows moisture trapped around the perimeter of the building from drying after storms.

This latter condition encourages situations such as mold and mildew growth on the building and accelerates the deterioration of paint finishes. Ideally, the number of large deciduous trees in close proximity to the library should be kept to a minimum. In addition to the aforementioned issues, large trees risk damaging the building in the event severe storms cause limbs to break or trees to uproot. If plantings around the perimeter of the building are desired, they should be kept to low shrubs and ground-cover plantings with a two- to three-foot buffer between them and the building for future growth and maintenance.
Fig. 29 Detail of slate roof, east wing. Multiple missing and broken slates. The conditions here are representative of what is seen elsewhere across the roof.

Fig. 30 The slate roof was laid with a graduated exposure, so the lower slates show more of their surface to the weather than those nearer the curb (where the pitch of the roof changes). Notice the snow guards that have slipped and the random broken slates.
Fig. 31  Copper roof at east barn doors. The roof is lifting away at the left end and is generally in poor condition. It has served its purpose and is at the end of its useful life. This roof should be replaced in-kind with new standing-seam copper roofing.

Fig. 32  The modern mortar used to repoint the chimneys lacks the variety of color and texture found in the original mortar. Given its uniform gray appearance, even set high up on the building it stands out.
Fig. 33 An example of one of the original chimney caps used on the building. This piece was saved when new caps were installed on the chimneys.

Fig. 34 Detail of the decorative wood cornice found on the building. Note the half-round copper gutter in front of the cornice and shutter hardware at the window openings.
Fig. 35  Detail of mortar in east wall of Special Collections. This closeup shows the color and texture of the original pointing mortar used in the building. This mortar is much different in appearance than that used to repoint the chimneys. Notice the variety of aggregate in the sand used. Any replacement mortar should be formulated to match the color of the original mortar and applied to match the profile and texture as well.

Fig. 36. East elevation of the east wing at the north end. Note the vertical crack running up the center of the image.
Fig. 37. This is a continuation of the crack seen in fig. 36.

Fig. 38. Detail of the east wing, circa 1928. Notice how the brickwork is painted. This would have presented a more finished appearance and tied the different portions of the wing together.
Fig. 39  Jones Library circa 1928. This historic view provides a good look at both sun porches which are now mostly hidden behind vegetation. The absence of perimeter plantings allows the stately nature of the architecture to show through.

Fig. 40  Jones Library under construction. The sun porches are brick and steel like the rest of the building's construction. The steel roof frame can be seen on the west wing at the left of the image.
Fig. 41  West sun porch, east elevation. Like much of the woodwork on the building, the paint on the sun porches is in poor condition. All of the painted surfaces need to be prepped and refinished with a high-quality paint system that includes priming areas of bare wood along with two top coats of finish paint.

Fig. 42  Typical 12-over-12 divided-light wood window found in the building. The wood windows are character-defining features of the building and should be repaired and retained throughout. The existing storm windows are at the end of their service life and should be replaced with high-quality, low-profile storm windows. Notice the holdbacks mounted in the masonry on either side of the window for the shutters.
Fig. 43  The library shortly after completion, showing the wood shutters flanking the window openings.

Fig. 44  Many of the storm windows on the third floor of the library have had UV-protective film applied to them. The film is well past its service life and is now bubbling and peeling from the surface of the glazing. Owing to the age and quality of the storm windows, it makes the most sense to replace them with a high-quality, low-profile storm windows.
Fig. 45  Representative examples of failing paint on the window sash and water-stained sills. These conditions are typically the product of condensation that forms on the window glazing and eventually drips down onto the sash and sills. The sill also looks to be dried out due to failure of its clear coat and decades of UV exposure.

Fig. 46  West wing, second-floor north window. This window exhibits the typical failures seen from condensation and UV damage. The window is situated in what appears to be a problematic location, where the upper roof discharges rain and snow onto the lower roof (seen through the window). Being the north side of the building, it likely holds moisture and snow longer than elevations that receive direct sunlight.
Fig. 47  The frontis at the south entry is based on Connecticut River Valley door surrounds popular during the mid-eighteenth century. These door surrounds drew from classical examples illustrated in English architectural treatises and found in urban areas along the coast; however, they employed architectural details and proportions unique to the valley. This twentieth-century adaptation takes the design one step further, incorporating a single glazed door and sidelights into the design. Note the carvings in the capitals and the pineapple feature in the center of the scroll pediment.
Fig. 48  Detail of the pineapple located in the pediment of the frontis. Note the leaves surrounding the body of the fruit. These may have been made from some type of sheet material, such as tin or copper, and gilded.

Fig. 49  East entry. This entry has been altered to provide universal access to the building. In doing so, the original double doors were removed and infilled to accommodate a single modern door. The original doors still exist and are used on the small shed at the end of the east drive. The design of this entry can be improved upon to both provide universal access and harmonize with the overall aesthetic of the architecture.
Fig. 50 East entry. The building is hidden behind a variety of different plantings that range in size and type. Vines should not be allowed to grow on wood surfaces. Large deciduous trees should be removed and kept well away from the perimeter of the building.

Fig. 51 West entry. Like the east entry, the west wing is surrounded by close plantings that hide the building’s facades.
**Interior**

**General Assessment**

Taking into consideration past large-scale alterations made to the library, such as the renovations made to the auditorium and the 1993 expansion, much remains of the 1928 library interior. Perhaps most important is that the majority of the 1928 plan remains (fig. 52). While some alterations have been made to the first floor plan, the upper floors remain largely unchanged. The changes made to the first-floor plan (excluding the auditorium area) are fairly minor and have not altered the original plan beyond comprehension. A number of changes made in 1993 included adding walls in places to partition spaces, changes that could easily be undone if desired. As a historic feature, a building’s floor plan is as important as its exterior appearance, for it is the floor plan that explains how a building was used and how it operated; without it, a building becomes stripped of its personality.

**Water Infiltration**

Evidence of past water infiltration is visible in sporadic areas throughout the interior of the library. Much of this exists around window openings and at the perimeters of ceilings adjacent to exterior walls, resulting in bubbling and crumbling of the gypsum surface. This is most noticeable around the dormer windows where the auditorium was located (fig. 53). Water staining in the second floor fireplace of the west wing may be a consequence of the chimney not being capped (fig. 54). The status of this leak is not known and should be monitored. All uncapped, unused chimneys should be capped to prevent water from entering.

The water stains around the dormers and upper floor areas most likely relate to roof issues brought on by the end of its service life, further reinforcing the need to replace the slate roof.

A recurring leak is present in the Special Collections department, where the end wall of the original building meets the 1993 addition.

Facilities staff noted that this occurs during unique circumstances or conditions, such as heavy snow and driving rains.

**Retrofitting of Modern Building Systems**

Typical of public buildings of this age, the library suffers from numerous incremental improvements made over time to add and update systems introduced since its construction. The installation of modern building systems, such as ceiling lighting, fire detection and suppression equipment, and other services, is often expensive and difficult to integrate into existing buildings. They are most commonly installed as seen today, surface mounted on walls and ceilings and routed through rooms across floor levels (fig. 55). Their placement diminishes the original architectural intent of the spaces, and often their look and design appears out of place with the period fabric.

Decorative finishes, such as floor coverings and paint colors, periodically get changed as they wear out or as tastes change. Similar factors alter features like light fixtures and window coverings, so that over the decades, these incremental changes add up, slowly eroding the original architectural appearance and feel of the building, resulting in a much lesser design than was originally conceived. These changes are common, and it is generally not until a large-scale project occurs that plans are developed to either better integrate systems into the building or undo past alterations that may be detracting from the quality of spaces.

**Architectural Finishes and Fixtures**

Much of the original 1928 interior finishes—flooring, millwork, windows, doors, mantels, and plasterwork—remain in the building; however, later improvements have altered or concealed portions of their appearance. For instance, nearly all of the natural wood floors have been covered with wall-to-wall carpeting, both hiding them from view and altering the appearance of the rooms. Modern light fixtures (a critical and necessary convenience) have replaced historic ones in their entirety, erasing any sense of how spaces were originally illuminated and by what means.
Perhaps the greatest change to affect the interior appearance of the library is the absence of color on the walls. A number of entries in the Progress Report make reference to Allen Cox choosing colors for the interior of the library:

August 17, 1928 - "Mr. Cox at the building in the afternoon, he chose colors for walls of the Auditorium and First Floor and decided upon colors for the blinds."

August 28, 1928 - "Mr. Cox was here today choosing colors."

September 28, 1928 - "Mr. Cox here today also Mrs. Cox selecting colors for walls and fabrics."

Historic photographs of the library’s interior clearly show differences in surface treatments. Because these are black-and-white images, colors cannot be discerned; however, based on the white of ceilings, it is clear not only that the walls of the rooms are painted a different color but that, in some locations, different colors were used above and below the chair rails (fig. 56 and 57).

The reference to fabric on the walls is equally interesting. A white fabric wall covering remains on the walls of the center stair hall; however, historic images show the walls appearing in a darker shade than this covering would produce.

The library should undertake a paint study of the interior spaces to determine if original finishes can be identified. This would entail hiring a paint analyst to sample the surface finishes and examine them under a microscope at high magnification, a process known as cross section paint microscopy. At high magnification, colors can be identified and matched to either manufacturer paint colors or standard color notation systems. The reintroduction of color back into the interior of the library, especially period color schemes, would have a dramatic effect on the appearance of the rooms.

Use of Space

A number of the library’s original spaces are now shut off to the general public, having been converted to administrative offices or staff use. The library’s desperate need for storage space has also resulted in underutilized spaces (such as the west entry) becoming pressed into service to meet these needs (fig. 58). This, in combination with changes made to the interior finishes and treatments of remaining spaces open to the public, lessens the unique identity originally conceived for the library.

Original Furnishings

During the course of examining the library, it was surprising to discover how many pieces of original or early furnishings and objects still remain throughout the building. These include reading tables, chairs, upholstered furniture, and umbrella stands, andirons, and the tall clock on the landing of the main staircase (fig. 59-62). A large number of items have been cataloged and are stored in the trustees’ meeting room and elsewhere by the Special Collections department; however, a variety of pieces are located throughout the library and are still in use. While the library staff appear to be aware of these pieces, it is uncertain if the full extent of what remains is known and if it has been cataloged in its entirety. While some pieces are labeled, such as tables and chairs in the children’s reading room manufactured by Shaw Furniture Co., Cambridge, Massachusetts, other pieces are not and might only be identified by a professional knowledgeable in furniture of this period. Much documentation exists in the library’s Special Collections archive, and it may be possible to match many of these pieces with original invoices or inventories.
Fig. 52 First-floor overlay. This composite image shows the 1928 floor plan (in gray) laid over the 1990 footprint. The 1990 addition is basically attached to the original building without much alteration to the original floor plan.

Fig. 53 Southeast corner of the former auditorium. Past water infiltration has caused the gypsum plaster finish to bubble and deteriorate. This in turn has caused the paint finish to fail as well. This is representative of the plaster surrounding many of the dormers and is likely the result of roofing issues.
Fig. 54  West wing, second-floor fireplace. Note the water stains in the firebox and at the rear of the hearth. The white debris on the hearth extension is paint and plaster dust from the ceiling above. This location should be monitored to determine the status of water infiltration. The chimney here should be capped if it is not already.

Fig. 55  Children’s stacks. This representative example of incremental change shows how the introduction of things like modern lighting, fire detection and suppression systems, emergency lighting, mechanical systems in the fireplace, and modern paint colors and carpeting has radically changed the original architectural design and feeling of the space.
Fig. 56 Exhibition Room. This image shows that the walls were painted in some type of color scheme. Note how the white of the cornice contrasts with the tone of the walls. This photo offers an excellent idea of how the rooms were furnished, as well as an example of the ceiling fixtures originally used in the building.

Fig. 57 Main stair hall, circa 1929. The dark tone of the walls indicated they were painted in a color other than the white seen on the ceiling. Note the treatments in the room beyond.
Fig. 58 West entry vestibule. Lack of space has forced secondary spaces like this entryway to be pressed into service as storage space.
Fig. 59  One of two original, Arts and Crafts style, umbrella stands located in the building.

Fig. 60  Drop leaf table likely dating to the opening of the 1928 library.
Fig. 61 Table and chair set labeled “Shaw Furniture Co. Cambridge, Mass.” These pieces date to the construction of the library and were part of its original furnishings.

Fig. 62 Original trestle table still in use.
Guideline for the Treatment of the 1928 Library

The preservation of historic buildings is a complex undertaking owing to their unique nature and individual characteristics. Unlike new construction, which centers around an original idea and modern materials, preservation involves treating existing construction in a manner that respects the original design and intent of the architecture. Stewardship of the Jones Library comes with a number of challenges, foremost of which is a responsibility to preserve the unique architectural qualities of the building while also responding to changing programmatic needs and modern amenities. Unlike the static nature of a museum building, the Jones Library is a dynamic institution serving the community. While change is inevitable, it does not need to occur at the sacrifice of the historic integrity of the structure. Future growth can be achieved successfully; however, accomplishing this requires careful planning and skillful execution.

As stewards of the Jones Library, the Board of Trustees needs to establish an approach to managing the building with long-term goals in mind, ideally including the preservation of the 1928 building and its historic integrity. The National Park Service defines integrity as “the authenticity of a property’s historic identity, evidenced by the survival of physical characteristics that existed during its historic period; the extent to which a property retains its historic appearance.” The later additions made to the library are not of exceptional quality and do not contribute to the library’s overall significance. Considering its age, the 1993 addition does not meet the National Park Service criterion for evaluating properties that dictates, “With the exception in unique circumstances, properties must be at least 50 years old to be eligible for consideration as a historic resource.”

Stewardship of any resource requires that goals be defined ahead of time in order to identify what needs to be accomplished. The following guidelines are intended to help establish a framework and shape an approach to work that preserves and protects the character-defining features of the building, both inside and out, so that future repairs and improvements can be planned, implemented, and even removed with the least impact to the integrity of the building.

1. First and foremost, any work affecting the 1928 library should be done in a way that avoids altering or damaging the historic integrity of the building.

2. Respect for the original design intent, features, and elements must be considered in the planning, design, and implementation of improvements. Ideally, the use of spaces should be compatible with the original plan and function so as to minimize changes to the layout and volume of the spaces.

3. Original materials and character-defining elements should be retained to the greatest extent possible.

4. New programs introduced into the original library building should be sympathetic to the historic fabric of the spaces.

5. Materials used in the repair of the building should meet or exceed the quality used in its original construction.

6. Repairs made to original material should match the workmanship of the original construction in appearance and quality of execution.

7. All efforts should be made to ensure that the installation and replacement of modern services and systems do not adversely affect the integrity of the building.

8. Past alterations that detract from the integrity of the library should be reversed when circumstances allow.

The Jones Library is identified as a contributing building within the Amherst Central Business District—a National Register district as of 1991—and is also listed in the State Register of Historic Places. As such, any work performed using state or federal funding will require that the trustees file with the Massachusetts Historical Commission and undergo a Chapter 254, Section 106, review of
the project. Furthermore, if federal tax credits are being sought, all work will be required to comply with the secretary of the interior’s Standards for Rehabilitation for the work to qualify as a certified rehabilitation. The Standards for Rehabilitation parallel the preceding guidelines but in more broad terms.

The Standards for Rehabilitation

1. A property shall be used for its historic purpose or be placed in a new use that requires minimal change to the defining characteristics of the building and its site and environment.

2. The historic character of a property shall be retained and preserved. The removal of historic materials or alteration of features and spaces that characterize a property shall be avoided.

3. Each property shall be recognized as a physical record of its time, place, and use. Changes that create a false sense of historical development, such as adding conjectural features or architectural elements from other buildings, shall not be undertaken.

4. Most properties change over time; those changes that have acquired historic significance in their own right shall be retained and preserved.

5. Distinctive features, finishes, and construction techniques or examples of craftsmanship that characterize a historic property shall be preserved.

6. Deteriorated historic features shall be repaired rather than replaced. Where the severity of deterioration requires replacement of a distinctive feature, the new feature shall match the old in design, color, texture, and other visual qualities and, where possible, materials. Replacement of missing features shall be substantiated by documentary, physical, or pictorial evidence.

7. Chemical or physical treatments, such as sandblasting, that cause damage to historic materials shall not be used. The surface cleaning of structures, if appropriate, shall be undertaken using the gentlest means possible.

8. Significant archaeological resources affected by a project shall be protected and preserved. If such resources must be disturbed, mitigation measures shall be undertaken.

9. New additions, exterior alterations, or related new construction shall not destroy historic materials that characterize the property. The new work shall be differentiated from the old and shall be compatible with the massing, size, scale, and architectural features to protect the historic integrity of the property and its environment.

10. New additions and adjacent or related new construction shall be undertaken in such a manner that if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired.
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### Appendix A: Materials Used in the Construction of the Jones Library

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Furnished Building</td>
<td>100,983.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate</td>
<td>14,844.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architect's Fee</td>
<td>671.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering Expense</td>
<td>3,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerk of Works</td>
<td>745.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>5,705.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor</td>
<td>1,631.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casper Ranger (contract with extras)</td>
<td>235,879.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Cutler, Treas.</td>
<td>4,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amherst Water Co.</td>
<td>6.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Fixtures</td>
<td>4,299.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumber</td>
<td>2.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furniture</td>
<td>16,524.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loam</td>
<td>525.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surveying</td>
<td>89.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grading</td>
<td>2,493.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furnishings</td>
<td>7,251.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Expense</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>400,037.33</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HOLYOKE, MASS.
October 26, 1929,

Re:

Mr. Charles R. Green, Librarian
Jones Library
Amherst, Mass.

Dear Sir:-

In reply to your letter of October 5, the following are the main items required in the building of your new Library:

2500 Barrels Cement
1200 Tons Trap Rock
2000 Yards Sand in Concrete, Partition Blocks and Plaster

280,000 Brick
400 Cu. Yds. Stone for Exterior Walls
24,000 Sq. Ft. Terra Cotta Partitions and Wall Furring
400 Ft. in length Flue Lining for chimneys
120,000 Ft. Number in Building Forms
20,000 Ft. Material in finished oak floors
2500 Ft. of Ash, trade name of which is Phillipine Walnut
20,000 Ft. Phillipine Mahogany for interior finish
15,000 Yds. Plaster
60 Tons Structural Steel
150 squares Slate Roof.

We trust this information will prove of interest and beg to remain

Very truly yours

CASPER RANGER CONSTRUCTION CO.

J.R. [Signature]

JRB:V
Mr. C. R. Green
The Jones Library
Amherst, Mass.

Dear Sir:

Replying to your letter of October 25 there is approximately 35,000 feet of electrical conduits in your building and about 80,000 feet of different sizes of wires and cables.

There is also about 600 outlets, this would include switches, receptacles, bracket outlets and ceiling outlets.

We trust that this is the information that you desire.

Yours very truly,

[Signature]

INTERSTATE ELECTRIC
CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION
STEARS BUILDING
301 BRIDGE STREET
SPRINGFIELD, MASSACHUSETTS

Oct. 27, 1928
Oct. 28, 1928

Mr. Charles R. Green, Librarian
The Jones Library
Amherst, Mass.,

Dear Sir:

In accordance with your letter of the 25th we are listing below the approximate amounts of some of the material used on the new library building.

9954 Lbs Paint Pigment
250 Lbs Coloring
600 Lbs Dry Material
200 Gals Liquids

Trusting that this is the information you desire, we are

Very truly yours,

MUIRHEAD'S SHOPS OF MERIDEN, INC.

W. R. Muirhead, 2nd
Appendix B: Jones Library Schedule of Rooms

The Jones Library
Incorporated
Amherst, Massachusetts

SCHEDULE OF ROOMS

Basement

Rooms 1, 2, 7. Storage rooms. Wired and equipped with ceiling lights for future stack storage rooms. Well lighted and dry. At the base of the chimney stack in Room 7 there has been installed a twenty-inch fan to aid in ventilating, especially during the summer time.

Room 4. The front or south end has been used for the electrical switch, high voltage room, and similar equipment. The north or back end will be used for the packing of bindery material, and so on.

Room 8. Service hallway.

Rooms 5, 6, 7, 8. Staff quarters.
    Room 5. Rest room for the young women members of the staff.
    " 7. Locker room.
    " 8. Toilet.

Rooms 9 and 10. Men’s wash room and toilet.

Room 11. Corridor.

Room 12. Janitors’ rest room.

Room 13. Janitors’ supply department and work room.

Room 14. Boiler room.

Room 15. Coal Pocket.

Room 16. Storage room, with a trap door opening from the auditorium, so that seaters or other things may be removed from the auditorium and so that tables, chairs, or other things may be sent up to the auditorium.

Room 17. Corridor, widened at the east end in order to take care of an old stage coach belonging to the Amherst Historical Society.
Room 18. Work room, originally planned as a book bindery.


First Floor

Room 101. Children's reading room.

" 101-A. Extension of children's reading room, to be used by the children's librarian as a work room and also available as a special book room or other special occasions which may arise later on.

" 102. Stack area and art room. One side of the three tiers of wooden book stacks has been made to accommodate large size art books, royal folios, and so on, with a battery of picture filing cases along the north wall between two windows.

" 103. Main reading room.

" 104. Periodical and newspaper room.

" 105. Secretary's office.

" 106. Boltwood room, containing a special book collection devoted to town histories and genealogies; also, a buffer office room.

" 107. Librarian's office.

" 108. Cataloging room.

" 109. Last room, to be used for committee meetings and reception room, or as the lobby or foyer to the auditorium.

" 110. Coat room.

" 111. Auditorium; seating capacity, 380.

" 112. Stage.

" 113 and 114. Dressing rooms.
Second Floor

Room 301. Children's exhibition room.

n 302. Story telling room; also available for a teacher's library, selected home library; also meetings for adults.

n 303. Exhibition room.

n 304. Amherst Collection room; also available for committee meetings and small study groups.

n 305. Jones Memorial Room; also trustees' meeting room, room for fine editions, good sets.

n 306. Special book room. Available for committee meetings, small study groups, R.I.Y.

Motion picture booth just off Room 306 has been raised so that the balcony is entirely clear and now has a seating capacity of perhaps 30.

Third Floor

Room 301. The studio, available for special art exhibitions, evening study classes, or other special groups.

n 301-306. Small study rooms for individual use.

n 307 and 308. Corridors.

Fourth Floor

East or right-hand section available for storage.
AMHERST MEMORIAL ROOM.

A room which, by its equipment and furnishings, will be at once a memorial to Amherst men in the World War and a place of deposit for all records about these men and anything else of record or information about other men and women of Amherst. It will always be a beautiful room, one of inspiration and appeal, in memory of all those who served their country, and at the same time it will be a useful room in that all available records about these patriots and all other sons and daughters of this "Village Among the Trees" shall be made available and yet kept safe for all time.
This name is given to the money deposited in the Amherst Savings Bank in the name of The Jones Library, Inc., Emergency Account; payable to the Librarian. The money so deposited is fine money, so-called, and money received from the sale of waste paper, etc. Money may be drawn from this fund for the payment of bills incurred on account of sickness, travel, or other purposes for the help of the employees of The Jones Library or the help of other libraries or library people as The Jones Library people may decide.
READING ROOM - the heart of the building, with adjoining room for consultation room.

CHILDREN'S DEPARTMENT - reading room and children's library.

CATALOGING DEPARTMENT - staff working quarters, rest room, kitchenette.

LIBRARIAN'S SUITE - Librarian, Trustees, and Jones Room.

AUDIENCE ROOM - Auditorium, assembly, music, drama.

BURRETT ART COLLECTION - a suite of three adjoining rooms.

JANITOR'S SUITE - adjoining

HEAT AND LIGHT DEPARTMENT - Boiler room, work room, bindery.

TEACHERS - study clubs, study rooms.

EXHIBITION SPACE - Upper hallway, walls in various rooms.